# **NiBr, Complexes with Triphenylarsine Oxide (Ph,AsO). Two New Interesting Structures**

# G. OLIVA\*, E. E. CASTELLANO, J. ZUKERMAN-SCHPECTOR

Instituto de Física e Química de Sao Carlos, USP, Caixa Postal 369, 13560 São Carlos, SP, Brasil

#### and A. C. MASSABNI

*Institute de Quimica de Araraquara, UNESP, Caixa Postal 174, I4800 Araraquara, SP, Brasil* 

Received January 24, 1984

*The synthesis and crystal structure of two complue symmesis and crysial structure of two comand triphenylarsine oxide (Ph,AsO) is described. Green and orange complexes can be obtained. the blue blue complexes can be obtained from the blue, probably tetrahedral complex [NiBr<sub>2</sub>(Ph<sub>3</sub>-* $AsO<sub>2</sub>$ *]*, depending on the solvents used for recrystallization. *NiBrz\*4/(C,H,),AsO]\*8Hz0 (green): M =* 

 $16502 - 715615/3730$   $0.271(2)$ ,  $16.267(3)$ *17.647(1)* a, *a* = 1*1.7112,* 0, *10.2011)*, *c* =  $\begin{array}{cc} 7.077(2) & \text{A, } p = 112.07(1), & \text{V} = 3031.7 & \text{A, } L = 0 \\ \text{D, } & 1.501 & -3.6 & \text{V} = 3.54194.8 \end{array}$ 2,  $D_x = 1.501$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>, CuK $\alpha$ ,  $\lambda = 1.54184$  Å,  $\mu =$  $38.67$  cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $R = 0.039$ , 3741 unique reflections, 3203 with  $I > 3\sigma(I)$ .

 $NiBr_2 \cdot 4[(C_6H_5)_3AsOj \cdot 3/2(C_6H_5CH_3) \cdot H_2O$ (orange):  $M = 1663.7, P\overline{I}$ , a = 12.647(8), b = *13.953(5),* c = 22.853(6) A,  $\alpha$  = 90.91(3),  $\beta$  = 96.70(4),  $\gamma$  = 111.16(4)<sup>o</sup>, V = 3727.4 A<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2,  $D_x = 1.482$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>, MoKa,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å,  $\mu = 30.48$ *-I, R*   $\frac{m}{2}$ ,  $\frac{n-1}{2}$ *= 0.087, 8600 unique reflections, 4293 with In the green complex the Ni(II) ion is sited on a* 

*chargedrally complex the industry contraction and in the system of the system of* center of symmetry and is octahedrally coordinated to six water molecules, hydrogen bonded to the Ph<sub>3</sub>-AsO molecules and to the bromide anions forming a second coordination sphere in a nearly octahedral arrangement. In the orange complex the cation is *pentacoordinated with the four oxygen atoms of the* Ph<sub>3</sub>AsO ligands forming the basis of a tetragonal pyramid and with one Br<sup>-</sup> anion in the apical posi*tion. The absorption spectrum of the orange com*plex is compared with the spectra of other Ni(II) square pyramidal complexes described in the litera-<br>ture.

#### **Introduction**

 $\mathcal{L}$  complexes are of interest spectrosco-of interest sp prickel( $\pi$ ) complexes are of interest spectrosco-

0020-1693/84/\$3.00

forms in which the ion occurs. Many complexes are bins in which the foll occurs, many complexes are known in each stereochemical class [1], six-coordinate octahedral, four-coordinate tetrahedral and ate octaneural, four-coordinate tetraneural and quale planar being the most common structures. Stable  $Ni(II)$  complexes with phosphine and arsine oxides were thoroughly studied mainly by Cotton *et al.* [2-5] some years ago.  $\lbrack 2^{m}3 \rbrack$  some years ago.

result from the compounds in the present paper internation of compounds resulting from interaction between  $NiBr<sub>2</sub>$  and triphenylarsine oxide (Ph<sub>3</sub>AsO) is described. The blue tetrahedral complete  $(1 \text{ H}_3 \text{H}_3 \text{O})$  is described. The order iously characterized by Cotton *et al.* [4]. These iously characterized by Cotton et al.  $[4]$ . These authors observed the formation of a mixture of blue, green and orange solids when a green ethanolic solution of  $NiBr<sub>2</sub>$  and  $Ph<sub>3</sub>AsO$  in proportion of 1:2.25 was kept in vacuo over sulfuric acid for several days.<br>The blue complex was isolated by dissolving the mixthe office complex was isolated by dissolving the filtrathe  $\sigma$  solution in the chronologizene. After intration the solution yielded blue crystals. In an attempt to prepare this blue complex for spectroscopic comparison purposes we observed that other complexes alison purposes we observed that other complexes be obtained by varying the experimental conditions. Depending on the solvents used, metal to ligand ratio, time of heating or cooling, recrystallization and drying, etc., one, two or even a mixture of all three complexes could be prepared. The blue complex was easily obtained by evaporation of the ethanolic solution of an exactly 1:2 mixture (NiBr<sub>2</sub> to  $Ph<sub>3</sub>AsO$ ) on a hot plate and slow addition of chlorobenzene. On cooling to room temperature blue crystals of  $[NiBr_2(Ph_3AsO)_2]$  precipitated.  $D_1$ <sup>2</sup>( $\Gamma$ 113ASO)<sup>2</sup>] precipitated.

belief and a complex in hot choicbenzene and addition of hot toluene yielded good crystals of an orange complex suitable for X-ray structure determination. An orange solid was also obtained directly by mixing hot ethanolic solutions  $\alpha$ and and  $\alpha$  is a  $\alpha$  metal to ligand ratio,  $\alpha$ and  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{3}$  and  $\frac{1}{3$ after changing the solvent to chlorobenzene. This orange solid analysed as  $NiBr<sub>2</sub> \cdot 4(Ph<sub>3</sub> AsO)$  but did not give good crystals. give good crystals.<br>Distribution of the blue complex in hot benzene

 $\mu$  blue solid on the blue complex in not benefice

<sup>\*</sup>Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Molecular formula	$NiBr2·4(Ph3AsO)·8H2O$	$NiBr2 \cdot 4 (Ph3 AsO) \cdot 3/2 (C6H5CH3) \cdot H2O$
Molecular weight	1650.2	1663.7
Space group	$P2_1/c$	PĪ
Cell constants	$= 13.731(2)$ $\mathfrak{a}$	12.647(8)
	$= 16.267(3)$ b	13.953(5)
	$= 17.647(2)$ $\mathcal{C}$	$22.853(6)$ A
	$= 90$ $\alpha$	90.91(3)
	$= 112.04(1)$ ß	96.70(4)
	$= 90$ $\gamma$	111.16(4)°
	$V = 3651.4$	3727.4 $A^3$
Complexes per cell	2	2
Density (calcd)	1.501	1.482 g cm <sup>-3</sup>
Radiation used	CuK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda$ = 1.54184 A)	$MoK\alpha$ ( $\lambda$ = 0.71073 A)
Absorption coefficient	38.67	$30.48 \text{ cm}^{-1}$
Collection range	$0 \lt \theta \lt 55^\circ$	$0 < \theta < 23^{\circ}$
Total data collected	3741	8600
Data with $I > 3\sigma(I)$	3203	4293
$\mathbf{R} = \Sigma \mathbf{F}_{0} \mathbf{F}_{1} - \mathbf{F}_{2} \mathbf{F}_{2} \mathbf{F}_{3}$	0.039	0.087

TABLE I. Summary of Data Collection and Processing Parameters.

filtrate yielded good green crystals. The green complex contained water and NiBr<sub>2</sub> and Ph<sub>3</sub>AsO in a 1:4 ratio. In solvents such as chloroform, dioxane and 1,2-dichloroethane the blue complex decomposes.

The crystal and molecular structures of the green and orange compounds were determined by X-ray  $\frac{1}{2}$  if fractometric analysis and are reported in the present paper. We did not succeed in obtaining single crystals of the blue compound suitable for structure determination. The orange compound used was the one obtained with addition of hot toluene.

# Experimental

Diffraction measurements were carried out on a CAD-4 Enraf-Nonius diffractometer, using crystals of irregular shapes and similar sizes with maximum and minimum linear dimensions of about 0.35 and 0.25 mm respectively. The orange complex crystal is hygroscopic and was sealed in a Lyndemann capillary for data collection. Cell dimensions and the orientation matrices were calculated by least squares from 25 centered reflections. In both crystals diffraction intensities were measured by the  $\omega-2\theta$ scan technique using a variable scan speed between  $2.8-6.7$ <sup>o</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>. The intensities of two standard reflections were essentially constant over the duration of both experiments. Data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects but not for absorption or extinction. A summary of data collection and processing parameters is given in Table I. The electronic spectrum was obtained for the orange

compound, as nujol mull, in the region 2,000-300 nm using a Beckmann UV 5270 recording spectrophotometer.

#### *Structure Solution and Refinement*

#### *Green compound*

Density considerations indicated two molecules per unit cell. The nickel atom was accordingly placed on a center of symmetry and the rest of the structure was determined by the usual alternate difference Fourier and isotropic least squares refinement. The structure was refined anisotropically with the phenyl treated as rigid and the corresponding hydrogen atoms located on geometrical grounds. Only five of the eight water hydrogens could be located from difference synthesis. All hydrogen atoms were given a common fixed U value of 0.06  $A<sup>2</sup>$ . Unit weights were found appropriate for the refinement procedure. The final R factor was 0.039.

#### *Orange compound*

The heavy atoms were located by direct methods from the 500 highest E values in the range 1.41  $\leq$  $E \le 2.58$ . All other non-hydrogen atoms were determined from alternate cycles of difference Fourier synthesis and isotropic least squares. In the unit cell three solvent molecules (one of which is disordered) and two water molecules were found. Due to the rather large size of the structure and the relative low number of observations per parameter (less than 5 for an anisotropic model), only the heavy atoms and the arsine oxygens were refined anisotropically.

 $T_{\rm T}$  final Atomic Coordinates and Equivalent Isotropic Temperature Factors Calculated by Hamilton  $T_{\rm T}$ from All non-Holme (workmates and Equivalent Isotropic Temperature I actors calculated

Atom	x/a	y/b	z/c	$B_{iso}$
Br	0.1271(1)	$-0.0196(1)$	0.2601(1)	5.98(3)
Ni	$\bf{0}$	$\bf{0}$	$\bf{0}$	2.56(4)
O(W1)	$-0.1362(3)$	0.0216(3)	0.0193(2)	3.1(1)
O(W2)	0.0332(3)	$-0.1004(2)$	0.0787(2)	3.2(1)
O(W3)	0.0780(3)	0.0834(2)	0.0931(2)	2.9(1)
O(W4)	0.3462(5)	0.0176(5)	0.2276(4)	10.5(3)
As(1)	0.3468(1)	0.1648(0)	0.0573(0)	2.92(2)
O(1)	0.2666(3)	0.0974(3)	0.0752(3)	3.5(1)
C(111)	0.4760(3)	0.1161(3)	0.0662(3)	3.0(2)
C(112)	0.5372(3)	0.0807(3)	0.1409(3)	3.8(2)
C(113)	0.6347(3)	0.0466(3)	0.1518(3)	4.3(3)
C(114)	0.6712(3)	0.0479(3)	0.0879(3)	4.4(2)
C(115)	0.6100(3)	0.0833(3)	0.0131(3)	4.5(3)
C(116)	0.5125(3)	0.1174(3)	0.0022(3)	3.9(2)
C(121)	0.3871(4)	0.2492(3)	0.1372(3)	3.9(2)
C(122)	0.4812(4)	0.2913(3)	0.1530(3)	5.3(3)
C(123)	0.5123(4)	0.3528(3)	0.2124(3)	6.6(3)
C(124)	0.4492(4)	0.3723(3)	0.2561(3)	6.8(3)
C(125)	0.3551(4)	0.3302(3)	0.2403(3)	7.1(4)
C(126)	0.3240(4)	0.2687(3)	0.1809(3)	5.2(3)
C(131)	0.2797(3)	0.2127(3)	$-0.0474(2)$	3.5(2)
C(132)	0.1835(3)	0.1807(3)	$-0.0993(2)$	4.4(3)
C(133)	0.1288(3)	0.2182(3)	$-0.1744(2)$	5.8(3)
C(134)	0.1703(3)	0.2878(3)	$-0.1976(2)$	6.4(3)
C(135)	0.2665(3)	0.3198(3)	$-0.1457(2)$	6.3(3)
C(136)	0.3212(3)	0.2823(3)	$-0.0706(2)$	4.8(3)
As(2)	$-0.1605(1)$	0.2596(0)	0.0331(0)	2.90(2)
O(2)	$-0.1677(3)$	0.1789(3)	$-0.0257(3)$	3.7(1)
C(211)	$-0.0364(3)$	0.3207(3)	0.0494(3)	3.3(2)
C(212)	0.0143(3)	0.3123(3)	$-0.0055(3)$	4.7(3)
C(213)	0.0999(3)	0.3624(3)	0.0019(3)	6.0(3)
C(214)	0.1347(3)	0.4209(3)	0.0641(3)	6.8(3)
C(215)	0.0840(3)	0.4293(3)	0.1190(3)	7.0(3)
C(216)	$-0.0015(3)$	0.3792(3)	0.1116(3)	4.9(3)
C(221)	$-0.2777(3)$	0.3296(3)	$-0.0195(3)$	3.4(2)
C(222)	$-0.2844(3)$	0.4085(3)	0.0093(3)	5.0(3)
C(223)	$-0.3716(3)$	0.4577(3)	$-0.0317(3)$	6.7(3)
C(224)	$-0.4520(3)$	0.4281(3)	$-0.1015(3)$	6.4(3)
C(225)	$-0.4452(3)$	0.3492(3)	$-0.1303(3)$	5.9(3)
C(226)	$-0.3580(3)$	0.3000(3)	$-0.0893(3)$	4.3(2)
C(231)	$-0.1612(3)$	0.2284(3)	0.1372(2)	3.1(2)
C(232)	$-0.2251(3)$	0.2668(3)	0.1722(2)	4.5(3)
C(233)	$-0.2242(3)$	0.2399(3)	0.2475(2)	5.6(3)
C(234)	$-0.1594(3)$	0.1747(3)	0.2878(2)	5.5(3)
C(235)	$-0.0955(3)$	0.1364(3)	0.2528(2)	5.0(3)
C(236)	$-0.0964(3)$	0.1632(3)	0.1775(2)	4.0(2)

No hydrogen atoms were included in the model. Unit weights were also found appropriate in this case. The final R factor was 0.087.

In both crystals the refinement was made by mini- $\frac{m}{L}$  m both crystals the function  $\Sigma(\Gamma, \frac{1}{L} - \frac{1}{L})$ . The state of the function  $\Sigma(\Gamma, \frac{1}{L} - \frac{1}{L})$ .  $\mu_{\text{c}}$  in the function  $\omega_{\text{t}}$  or  $\mu_{\text{c}}$  and  $\mu_{\text{c}}$ iterative-blocked full-matrix least-squares and<br>conducted until all parameter shifts were less than 10% of the corresponding standard deviation.

Bonded H-atom scattering factors [6] and complex neutral scattering factors [7-8] for the remaining atoms were employed. Most of the calculations were performed on a VAX computer with the SHELX76 system of programs [9]. The final positional parameters and equivalent isotropic temperature factors, calculated as described by Hamilton [10] for all non-hydrogen atoms, are given in Tables

Atom	x/a	y/b	z/c	$B_{iso}$
Br(1)	$-0.2038(3)$	0.1864(3)	0.6248(1)	$3.7(1)$ *
Br(2)	0.4525(5)	0.3234(3)	0.0619(2)	$7.7(2)$ *
Ni	$-0.0531(3)$	0.2447(3)	0.7129(2)	$2.2(1)$ *
As(1)	0.1969(3)	0.2998(2)	0.6529(1)	$2.75(9)$ *
O(1)	0.081(1)	0.225(1)	0.6822(8)	$2.6(3)*$
C(111)	0.292(1)	0.418(1)	0.7032(7)	3.0(5)
C(112)	0.353(1)	0.512(1)	0.6807(7)	3.6(5)
C(113)	0.425(1)	0.594(1)	0.7188(7)	5.5(6)
C(114)	0.437(1)	0.583(1)	0.7795(7)	5.3(6)
C(115)	0.377(1)	0.490(1)	0.8021(7)	4.7(6)
C(116)	0.305(1)	0.407(1)	0.7639(7)	4.3(5)
C(121)	0.158(1)	0.344(1)	0.5768(7)	3.0(5)
C(122)	0.239(1)	0.374(1)	0.5376(7)	5.2(6)
C(123)	0.212(1)	0.408(1)	0.4828(7)	6.7(6)
C(124)	0.103(1)	0.412(1)	0.4671(7)	5.5(6)
C(125)	0.022(1)	0.382(1)	0.5063(7)	6.1(6)
C(126)	0.049(1)	0.348(1)	0.5611(7)	3.2(5)
C(131)	0.290(2)	0.221(1)	0.6400(8)	3.5(5)
C(132)	0.244(2)	0.134(1)	0.6010(8)	5.2(6)
C(133)	0.310(2)	0.075(1)	0.5915(8)	8.4(7)
C(134)	0.420(2)	0.103(1)	0.6209(8)	7.7(7)
C(135)	0.466(2)	0.190(1)	0.6598(8)	6.4(6)
C(136)	0.401(2)	0.249(1)	0.6693(8)	4.3(5)
As(2)	$-0.2075(3)$	0.2127(2)	0.8248(1)	$2.72(9)$ *
O(2)	$-0.148(1)$	0.276(1)	0.7666(8)	$2.8(4)$ *
C(211)	$-0.325(1)$	0.080(1)	0.8054(7)	3.8(5)
C(212)	$-0.354(1)$	0.039(1)	0.7470(7)	3.5(5)
C(213)	$-0.437(1)$	$-0.059(1)$	0.7333(7)	4.2(5)
C(214)	$-0.492(1)$	$-0.116(1)$	0.7779(7)	5.4(6)
C(215)	$-0.462(1)$	$-0.075(1)$	0.8363(7)	5.4(6)
C(216)	$-0.379(1)$	0.022(1)	0.8500(7)	5.3(6)
C(221)	$-0.093(1)$	0.202(1)	0.8867(7)	3.1(5)
C(222)	$-0.093(1)$	0.108(1)	0.9057(7)	5.0(6)
C(223)	$-0.005(1)$	0.104(1)	0.9473(7)	7.0(6)
C(224)	0.084(1)	0.195(1)	0.9698(7)	6.3(6)
C(225)	0.084(1)	0.290(1)	0.9507(7)	5.4(6)
C(226)	$-0.005(1)$	0.293(1)	0.9092(7)	4.2(5)
C(231)	$-0.283(1)$	0.294(1)	0.8563(8)	3.9(5)
C(232)	$-0.296(1)$	0.299(1)	0.9160(8)	3.8(5)
C(233)	$-0.348(1)$	0.363(1)	0.9366(8)	5.7(6)
C(234)	$-0.388(1)$	0.422(1)	0.8976(8)	6.5(6)
C(235)	$-0.376(1)$	0.417(1)	0.8379(8)	5.9(6)
C(236)	$-0.323(1)$	0.353(1)	0.8172(8)	3.3(5)
As(3)	0.0072(3)	0.5028(2)	0.7314(1)	$2.72(9)^*$
O(3)	0.018(2)	0.397(1)	0.7026(8)	$3.0(3)*$
C(311)	0.119(1)	0.619(1)	0.6993(7)	2.8(5)
C(312)	0.145(1)	0.603(1)	0.6431(7)	3.7(5)
C(313)	0.222(1)	0.684(1)	0.6169(7)	6.1(6)
C(314)	0.273(1)	0.781(1)	0.6470(7)	6.1(6)
C(315)	0.247(1)	0.796(1)	0.7032(7)	4.7(6)
C(316)	0.170(1)	0.715(1)	0.7293(7)	4.8(6)
C(321)	$-0.140(1)$	0.511(1)	0.7044(7)	3.1(5)
C(322)	$-0.162(1)$	0.598(1)	0.7213(7)	4.3(5)
C(323)	$-0.267(1)$	0.606(1)	0.7010(7)	6.0(6)
C(324)	$-0.349(1)$	0.527(1)	0.6638(7)	4.8(6)
C(325)	$-0.327(1)$	0.441(1)	0.6469(7)	4.8(6)

TABLE III. Final Atomic Coordinates and Isotropic Temperature Factors ( \* = equivalent isotropic as described by Hamilton  $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}$  ) and  $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}$  atoms  $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}$  coordinates and isotropic Temperature Factors ( $\frac{1}{\infty}$  = equivalent isotropic

*(continued on facing page)* 

# TABLE III. (continued)



TABLE IV. Interatomic Distances (A) with Estimated Standard Deviations in Parentheses for the Green Complex.



$O(W1) - Ni - O(W2)$	90.5(2)	$O(2) - As(2) - C(231)$	111.9(2)
$O(W1) - Ni - O(W3)$	89.3(2)	$C(211) - As(2) - C(221)$	107.9(2)
$O(W2) - Ni - O(W3)$	93.7(1)	$C(211) - As(2) - C(231)$	108.8(2)
$Br\cdots Ni\cdots O(1)$	72.51(7)	$C(221) - As(2) - C(231)$	108.6(2)
$Br\cdots Ni\cdots O(2)$	79.72(7)	$As(2) - C(211) - C(212)$	119.8(3)
$O(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot Ni \cdot \cdot \cdot O(2)$	78.7(1)	$As(2) - C(211) - C(216)$	120.0(4)
$O(1) - As(1) - C(111)$	112.0(2)	$As(2) - C(221) - C(222)$	122.1(3)
$O(1) - As(1) - C(121)$	111.4(2)	$As(2) - C(221) - C(226)$	117.9(3)
$O(1) - As(1) - C(131)$	109.9(2)	$As(2) - C(231) - C(232)$	122.5(3)
$C(111) - As(1) - C(121)$	103.8(2)	$As(2) - C(231) - C(236)$	117.4(4)
$C(111) - As(1) - C(131)$	110.5(2)	$Ni-O(W1)-H(W1)$	106(3)
$C(121) - As(1) - C(131)$	109.1(2)	$Ni-O(W3)-H(W3)$	107(3)
$As(1) - C(111) - C(112)$	117.7(3)	$Ni-O(W3)-H'(W3)$	107(3)
$As(1) - C(111) - C(116)$	122.4(3)	$H(W3) - O(W3) - H'(W3)$	122(5)
$As(1) - C(121) - C(122)$	119.6(4)	$H(W4) - O(W4) - H'(W4)$	116(5)
$As(1) - C(121) - C(126)$	120.5(3)	$O(W1) - H(W1) \cdots O(1)$	162(4)
$As(1) - C(131) - C(132)$	118.7(3)	$O(W3) - H(W3) \cdots O(1)$	148(5)
$As(1) - C(131) - C(136)$	121.1(3)	$O(W3) - H'(W3) \cdots Br$	175(4)
$O(2) - As(2) - C(211)$	110.4(2)	$O(W4) - H(W4) \cdots O(1)$	174(4)
$O(2) - As(2) - C(221)$	109.1(2)	$O(W4) - H'(W4) \cdots Br$	157(4)

TABLE V. Bond Angles ( $^{\circ}$ ) with Estimated Standard Deviations in Parentheses for the Green Complex.

TABLE VI. Bond Distances (A) with Estimated Standard Deviations in Parentheses for the Orange Complex.

$Ni-Br(1)$	2.506(5)	$As(2) - C(231)$	1.91(2)
$Ni-O(1)$	2.01(2)	$As(3)-O(3)$	1.66(2)
$Ni-O(2)$	1.96(2)	$As(3) - C(311)$	1.95(2)
$Ni-O(3)$	2.02(2)	$As(3) - C(321)$	1.94(1)
$Ni-O(4)$	2.01(2)	$As(3) - C(331)$	1.94(2)
$As(1)-O(1)$	1.68(2)	$As(4)-O(4)$	1.68(2)
$As(1) - C(111)$	1.92(1)	$As(4) - C(411)$	1.93(2)
$As(1) - C(121)$	1.92(2)	$As(4) - C(421)$	1.94(1)
$As(1) - C(131)$	1.92(2)	$As(4) - C(431)$	1.91(1)
$As(2)-O(2)$	1.70(2)	$C(T11) - C(T12)$	1.58(4)
$As(2) - C(211)$	1.92(2)	$C(T21) - C(T22)$	1.22(8)
$As(2) - C(221)$	1.95(2)	$O(W) \cdots Br(2)$	3.33(6)
		$O(W) \cdots Br(2)$	3.34(6)

II (green) and Table III (orange). Interatomic bond distances and angles are given in Tables IV and V for the green complex and Tables VI and VII for the orange one.

# **Results and Discussion**

Following the experimental procedures mentioned in the introduction, it is possible to obtain three complexes with different structures from the reaction between  $NiBr<sub>2</sub>$  and  $Ph<sub>3</sub>AsO$ . We believe these complexes to correspond to the three described as a mixture by Cotton et *al.* [4].

In the green complex  $NiBr_2 \cdot 4(Ph_3AsO) \cdot 8H_2O$ the metal ion, sited on a center of symmetry, is bound to six water oxygen atoms (only three of

TABLE VII. Bond Angles (") with Estimated Standard Deviations in Parentheses for the Orange Complex.

123.0(5)
117.1(5)
106.6(8)
111.1(8)
105.4(7)
119.1(8)
104.7(7)

*(continued on facing page)* 

TABLE VII. *(continued)* 

$O(4) - Ni - O(2)$	89.1(7)	$C(331) - As(3) - C(321)$	108.9(7)
$C(111) - As(1) - O(1)$			
	113.5(8)	$As(3)-O(3)-Ni$	135.6(9)
$C(121) - As(1) - O(1)$	112.5(8)	$C(312) - C(311) - As(3)$	117.1(5)
$C(121) - As(1) - C(111)$	109.1(7)	$C(316) - C(311) - As(3)$	122.7(5)
$C(131) - As(1) - O(1)$	108.9(8)	$C(322) - C(321) - As(3)$	119.2(5)
$C(131) - As(1) - C(111)$	106.6(7)	$C(326)-C(321)-As(3)$	120.7(5)
$C(131) - As(1) - C(121)$	105.8(8)	$C(332) - C(331) - As(3)$	121.6(5)
$As(1)-O(1)-Ni$	136.0(8)	$C(336) - C(331) - As(3)$	118.5(5)
$C(112) - C(111) - As(1)$	122.2(5)	$C(411) - As(4) - O(4)$	114.1(8)
$C(116)-C(111)-As(1)$	118.1(5)	$C(421) - As(4) - O(4)$	107.0(8)
$C(122) - C(121) - As(1)$	119.9(5)	$C(421) - As(4) - C(411)$	102.7(7)
$C(126) - C(121) - As(1)$	120.2(5)	$C(431) - As(4) - O(4)$	115.0(8)
$C(132) - C(131) - As(1)$	118.6(6)	$C(431) - As(4) - C(411)$	112.4(7)
$C(136) - C(131) - As(1)$	121.2(6)	$C(431) - As(4) - C(421)$	104.2(7)
$C(211) - As(2) - O(2)$	115.6(8)	$As(4)-O(4)-Ni$	128.3(9)
$C(221) - As(2) - O(2)$	112.4(8)	$C(412) - C(411) - As(4)$	120.6(5)
$C(221) - As(2) - C(211)$	109.6(7)	$C(416)-C(411)-As(4)$	119.6(5)
$C(231) - As(2) - O(2)$	105.6(8)	$C(422) - C421$ ) $- As(4)$	120.4(5)
$C(231) - As(2) - C(211)$	104.7(7)	$C(426)-C(421)-As(4)$	119.6(5)
$C(231) - As(2) - C(221)$	108.3(7)	$C(432) - C(431) - As(4)$	118.6(5)
$As(2)-O(2)-Ni$	130.9(9)	$C(436) - C(431) - As(4)$	121.4(5)
$C(212)-C(211)-As(2)$	120.3(5)	$C(T13) - C(T12) - C(T11)$	118(2)
$C(216)-C(211)-As(2)$	120.0(5)	$C(T17) - C(T12) - C(T11)$	120(2)
$C(222)-C(221)-As(2)$	122.4(5)	$C(T23) - C(T22) - C(T21)$	157(3)
$C(226)-C(221)-As(2)$	117.3(5)	$C(T27) - C(T22) - C(T21)$	37(3)

which are crystallographically-independent) in a distorted octahedral configuration. The oxygen atoms of four Ph<sub>3</sub>AsO molecules and two bromide anions are bonded to the ligating water molecules forming a second coordination sphere through a net of strong hydrogen bonds. A fourth crystallographicallyindependent water molecule forms a bifurcated hydrogen bond to a bromine atom and the oxygen atom of an As-O group. Distances and angles between atoms involved in hydrogen bonds are given in Table VIII. The general pattern can be seen in the stereoscopic projection [11] of Fig. 1.

TABLE VIII. Distances (A) and Angles (") Involved in Hydrogen Bonds.





Fig. 1. A stereoview of the Ni(I1) green complex showing the hydrogen bonds (dashed lines).



Fig. *2.* A view of the two coordination spheres of the Ni atom in the green complex.

This green complex is better formulated as  $Ni(H<sub>2</sub> O_6Br_2 \cdot 4(Ph_3AsO) \cdot 2H_2O$ . The water molecules can originate from solvents (particularly ethanol) from the hydrated  $NiBr<sub>2</sub>$ , or even from the hydrated  $Ph<sub>3</sub>$ -AsO. This is an important complex in that it has a well defined second coordination sphere formed predominantly by four  $Ph<sub>3</sub> AsO$  molecules in a nearly square planar arrangement, with the Ni(I1) ion at the center, in the same plane. The two  $Br^-$  anions complete the second octahedral structure (Fig. 2). Relevant interatomic distances of this second coordination sphere are given in Table IV.

In the orange compound  $NiBr<sub>2</sub>·4(Ph<sub>3</sub>AsO)·3/2 (C_6H_5CH_3) \cdot H_2O$  the nickel(II) ion is coordinated by the oxygen atoms of four crystallographicallyindependent  $Ph<sub>3</sub>AsO$  molecules and by a bromide ion in a distorted truncated tetragonal pyramid configuration. The oxygen atoms form the square base which is planar (within experimental accuracy). The nickel(II) ion is off this plane by  $0.361(4)$  Å in the direction of the bromine atom. The mean



Fig. 4. Electronic spectrum of the orange Ni(II) complex (solid sample, nujol mull).

 $Ni-O$  distance is 2.00(3) Å and the Ni-Br is 2.506(2) 8. The other independent bromine atom is not coordinated to the nickel(I1) ion but is hydrogenbonded to two crystallographically-related water molecules. A stereoscopic projection of the complex is shown in Fig. 3.

The orange complex is better formulated as [Ni- $Br(Ph_3AsO)_4] Br·3/2(C_6H_5CH_3)·H_2O$ . The crystal contains toluene and water molecules but they do not take part in the coordination sphere. One of the solvent molecules is approximately sited on a center of symmetry. Since this molecule lacks inversion symmetry, it is necessarily disordered. Its presence gives rise to the 3/2 ratio between solvent and complex.

A few examples of five-coordinate square pyramidal nickel(I1) complexes are known (see ref. 1 and refs. cited therein). Gerloch *et al.* [12] have



Fig. 3. A stereoview of the orange Ni(II) complex.

reported a very thorough analysis of the polarised spectra of the high spin square pyramidal  $[Ni(Ph<sub>2</sub> MeAsO<sub>4</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)]<sup>+</sup>$  ion. Low spin nickel(II) complexes of the type  $[Ni(diarsine)_2X]^{n*}$   $(X = Cl)$ , Br, I, NCS, thiourea and As) were also described [131.

The electronic spectrum of the solid orange complex (Fig. 4) seems to be consistent with a square pyramidal structure. There are three well-defined peaks at 470, 550 and 625 nm. These peaks are displaced to high energy regions in relation to the ones found for the  $[Ni(Ph<sub>2</sub>MeAsO)<sub>4</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)]$ <sup>+</sup> ion [12]. This comparison should be interpreted with care, since the  $Ph<sub>2</sub>MeAsO$  complex is high spin and the  $Ph<sub>3</sub>AsO$  complex is low spin. Furthermore, the  $NO<sub>3</sub>$ group was substituted by a Br atom.

It is interesting that square pyramidal structures were previously described in the literature for some metal-arsine oxide complexes, but the ligands contained one ethyl or one methyl and two phenyls bonded to the As atom  $[14, 15]$ . The orange complex described in the present paper contains Ph<sub>3</sub>AsO and it is certainly the only well-defined square pyramidal metal- $(Ph<sub>3</sub>AsO)$  complex. It is also important to mention that  $Ph<sub>3</sub>AsO$  and  $Ph<sub>3</sub>PO$ are among the most extensively used oxygen ligands during the last twenty five years.

### Acknowledgements

We thank Prof. A. B. P. Lever of York University, Canada for helpful discussions. This work was sponsored by grants from FINEP, BID, CNPq, FAPESP and CAPES.

### References

- 1 A. B. P. Lever, 'Inorganic Electronic Spectroscopy', Elsevier, Amsterdam (1968), 2nd Edition, 1984.
- *2*  F. A. Cotton and E. Bannister, *J. Am. Chem. Sot., 82, 3*  F. A. Cotton and D. M. L. Goodgame, J. *Am.* Chem. 1873 (1960).
- *4*  D. M. L. Goodgame and F. A. Cotton, .I. *Am. Chem.*  Sot., 82, 5771 (1960).
- *5*  D. M. L. Goodgame, M. Goodgame and F. A. Cotton, *Sot., 82, 5774 (1960).*
- *6 Allie Chelli, DC.*, 02, 7101 (1701).<br>**D. E. Stewart, E. D. Devideon, and W. T. Simpson, J.** J. Am. Chem. Soc., 82, 4161 (1961).
- *7*  D. T. Cromer and D. Liberman, J. *Chem. Phya, 53,* 1891 *Chem. Phys., 42, 3175 (1965).*
- *8*  D. T. Cromer and J. B. Mann, *Actu Cryst., A24, 321*  (1970).
- *9 G.* M. Sheldrick, SHELX76 program for Crystal Sruc-*(1968).*
- 10 W. C. Hamilton. *Acia Crvst.. 12, 609 (1959).*  ture Determination, University of Cambridge (1976).
- 11 C. K. Johnson, ORTEP, Repo;t No: ORAL-3794, Oak
- 12 M. Gerloch, J. Kohl, J. Lewis and W. Urland, J. *Chem.*  Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tenn. (1965).
- 13 H. B. Grav and J. R. Preer. *J. Am.* Chem. Sot.. 92. 7306 *Sot. (A), 3269 (1970).*
- 14 J. Lewis, R. S. Nyholm and G. A. Rodley, Nature, *207,*  1. D. UIAY 6<br>1070).
- *72 (1965).*
- 15 P. Pauling, G. B. Robertson and G. A. Rodley, *Nature,*  . Tauning, O. D<br>07 73 (1965).

*Note added in proof:* the following material is deposited with the editor: atomic coordinates of the H-atoms for the green complex; anisotropic thermal parameters for the green and orange complexes; structure factors tables for the green and orange complexes.